

**REMARKS**

The Examiner is thanked for the allowable subject matter of Claims 1-10, 12, 13, 28, 30, and 31. The Examiner has rejected Claims 14-23, and 25-27 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Such rejection is deemed moot in view of the cancellation of such claims. Additionally, the Examiner has objected to the Specification. Such objection is deemed moot in view of the cancellation of Claims 14-23, and 25-27.

The Examiner has stated in the Statement of Reasons for Allowance that “[t]he prior art does not reasonably teach monitoring the requests of untrusted applications and alerting the user to either allow and prevent the request from the untrusted application.”

In response, applicant points out that the independent claims are not limited to each of the features that the Examiner has highlighted above. Just by way of example, at least some of applicant’s independent claims are not limited to “monitoring the requests of untrusted applications” (emphasis added), as noted by the Examiner.

Clearly, at least some of the independent claims are not limited to the features that the Examiner has noted above in the Examiner’s Statement of Reasons for Allowance, as emphasized above (by way of example). Instead, each of the claims should only be limited by the language existing therein.

Thus, all of the independent claims are deemed allowable. Moreover, the remaining dependent claims are further deemed allowable, in view of their dependence on such independent claims.

In the event a telephone conversation would expedite the prosecution of this application, the Examiner may reach the undersigned at (408) 505-5100. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-1351 (Order No. NAI1P055).

Respectfully submitted,  
Zilka-Kotab, PC

/KEVINZILKA/

Kevin J. Zilka  
Registration No. 41,429

P.O. Box 721120  
San Jose, CA 95172-1120  
408-505-5100